

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,426 08/12/2003		Samuel J. Epstein	12013/47601	5077
23838 KENYON & K	7590 06/22/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE SUITE 700	T N.W.		KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/638,426	EPSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER D. KOHARSKI	3763	

	CHRISTOPHER D. KOHARSKI	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iii)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further contained (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying th	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 19, 20 and 22-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t hoforo or on the date of filing a No	atica of Annoal will not	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763	/Christopher D Koharsk Examiner, Art Unit 3763					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims raise new considerations and elemental/operational elements/functions drawn to the flow channel and thus change the scope of the applicant's claim and would require further additional search and consideration.